with minimized to the co

Coal Tasks, 1/17868

should not be permitted to deliberately utilize take information in Their documents liked with the court Instantly, The defendants specifically stated in their answer to the complaint that after reascable investigation that were utterly unable to identify the true name or whereabouts of defendan Mike Moe who reviewed plaintill but parole as delineated in the complex However, it is patently impossible for the defendants to have been unable to ascertain the true identity of defendant Mike Moe since The procedure is for documentation to be created by whomever roviews a prisoner for parole and the documents are signed by that person Clearly, the defendants are intentionally witholding the identities of defendants Mue and Doe in order to deliberately sabotage plaintiff's case, Moreover, defendant Moe specifically informed plantiff that he was aware of the litigation. It is deains of pluratill's parale is clearly in retaliation for a till's litigation. plaintill's litigation. Wherefore, the court should grant plaintill's puttion Date: June 28,2000 Respectfully submitted Charles clarky Chailes Iselez Am-9323 1 Kelley Dr.